



ARCWG Sub-Meeting (4): Mapping

Stream and Valley

March 18, 2024

In Attendance



IN ATTENDANCE

Joe Nethery (JN), Xavier Costa (XC), Ian Sinclair (IS), Jane Thompson (JT), Neil Morris (NM), Jeff Hignett (JH), Martin Bamford (MB), Councillor Christina Early (CE), Cheryl Connors (CC)

Potential Policy Ideas

Policy Ideas to Consider

1. What level of confidence and data do we have? What's the backstop behind that if there is an issue with the mapping?
2. Is there a requirement to update the maps as part of this study?
3. Can we include mapping that shows the interrelation of various features with potential mineral aggregate resource areas?
4. Have potential uses of lands or activities been considered in the locating of resources areas and constraints? (How does this align with source water protection requirements?)
5. Is there an ability to phase or stage applications such that some threshold policies are met prior to allowing an application to advance?

Potential Policy Ideas

Policy Ideas to Consider

6. Are exhausted pits appropriate to be shown as potential resource areas?
7. If extraction is permitted on or adjacent to a highly vulnerable aquifer, there should be policy direction as to what measures are appropriate as to how aquifer is protected through all phases of operation, including closure and rehabilitation.
8. Has source water protection information been reflected on the aggregate resource mapping?